Appendix D – MEETING SUMMARIES



October 28, 2013

Mr. Keith Downs Project Manager Kentucky Transportation Cabinet District 5 Office 8310 Westport Road Louisville, Kentucky 40242

Re: Bullitt County New Route (KY 480 to KY 44) Item 5-8709.00 1st Advisory Group Meeting Minutes

Dear Mr. Downs:

We are pleased to submit these minutes from the 1st Advisory Group Meeting held on the above referenced project on Thursday, September 26, 2013 from 3:00 - 5:00 PM at the Shepherdsville City Hall Building. The meeting Sign-In sheet and Comment forms are attached. The following is a summary of the major discussion that occurred during the meeting:

- 1.) After opening comments and introductions, a PowerPoint presentation was used to guide the meeting flow and keep it organized. A copy of the slide presentation is attached to these minutes as reference.
- 2.) It was explained that the main purpose of the meeting was to update the group on the current project status, discuss its purpose and need, provide an overview of the existing conditions report, and obtain input regarding considerations and goals for the study.
- 3.) After the presentation the group was asked to provide us comments in both written and verbal form. Some of the comments made during the open discussion included:
 - a. A comment was made on whether the roadway was going to be a two lane or four lane typical section. A follow-up comment suggested we plan for an ultimate four lane right of way.
 - b. It was suggested the project study should consider an extension to the north of KY 44 to either I-265 in Jefferson County or to I-65 via a future interchange at I-65 and KY 61.

URS Corporation 325 West Main Street, Suite 1200 Louisville, Kentucky 40202 Tel: 502.569.2301 Fax: 502.569.2304 www.urscorp.com



- c. A request was made to consider bike/pedestrian facilities along the corridor. A higher level vision may include connecting to the Parklands of Floyds Fork system and Bernheim Forest.
- d. A comment was made on why the project was being proposed and why the funding for this project wasn't being used on higher priority Bullitt County projects.
- e. It was suggested to push the KY 480 KY 44 Connector further to the east toward Mount Washington.
- f. Bullitt County is in the process of a Comprehensive Plan Update and it was suggested the Project Team meet with Kriss Lowry and Associates.
- g. Concerns were raised of the potential safety issues if the connector tied into KY 44 or KY 480 in a poor sight distance location.
- h. An additional alternative was suggested to be studied that would run on the west side of the Amazon.com Building. A marker was used to draw this alternative on the maps provided during the meeting.
- 4.) In addition to the comments received during the meeting, a comment sheet was distributed at the start of the meeting. The written comments received and a summary are attached to these minutes.
- 5.) The meeting adjourned at 4:45 PM.

Next Steps

- 1.) Begin the development of potential alternatives with in the refined study area.
- 2.) Complete the project's Environmental Overview.
- 3.) Develop a Pro-Con matrix for the alternatives for a Project Team meeting.

If you have any additional comments or questions, please contact either Greg Groves (<u>Greg.Groves@urs.com</u>) or Kevin Dant (<u>Kevin.Dant@urs.com</u>)

Sincerely,

ReinDart

Kevin Dant, CPM Project Manager URS Corporation





CONSIDERATIONS/ISSUES SUMMARY

	RESPO	RESPONSES	
PROJECT TEAM SHOULD CONSIDER:	YES	NO	
FUTURE EXTENSION TO THE NORTH	7		
FUTURE EXTENSION TO THE SOUTH	3	4	
USE OF EXISTING COUNTY ROADS FOR ALTERNATIVE	5	1	
MINIMIZE IMPACTS TO HOMES/BUSINESSES	5		
MINIMIZE IMPACTS TO FLOODPLAINS	2	4	
MINIMIZE IMPACTS TO NATURAL ENVIRONMENT	4	2	
PROVIDE PEDESTRIAN FACILITIES/BICYCLES	5		

COMMENTS

"Extension to the North of 44 should be considered as a priority (high). The area of study is excellent."

"A connector road east of Cedar Grove Elementary should be designed for residential traffic and business growth related to residential use. Additionally, should provide for future growth down to KY 245 while relieving KY 44. The future extension to I-65 and KY 245 seem paramount."

"Connector needs to extend further North or at least be planned for in the future. 44 has to much traffic now."

"Please try to keep the trucks away from the school and buses. Also away to get these trucks into the Business Park rather than Omega Parkway. Also try to use the smallest number of residences that might be disturbed."

"This connector is important but also connecting to Gene Snyder eventually."

"1. Get input from Bernheim/Parklands Floyds Fork 2. Go to the East—Far to the East. 3. Divert/avoid school traffic."

Duffy



URS

NEW CONNECTOR (KY 480 TO KY 44 WITH SALT RIVER CROSSING) **BULLITT COUNTY KYTC ITEM #: 5-8709.00**

CONSIDERATIONS/ISSUES

	IMPORTANT	
PROJECT TEAM SHOULD CONSIDER:	YES	NO
FUTURE EXTENSION TO THE NORTH	V	
FUTURE EXTENSION TO THE SOUTH	\checkmark	3. Alexandre
USE OF EXISTING COUNTY ROADS FOR ALTERNATIVE		
MINIMIZE IMPACTS TO HOMES/BUSINESSES	V	
MINIMIZE IMPACTS TO FLOODPLAINS	100	\sim
MINIMIZE IMPACTS TO NATURAL ENVIRONMENT	7	
PROVIDE PEDESTRIAN FACILITIES	\sim	

Rent Estepsiso to the North the 44 should be cansidered as A personily (high). The prepose stady is excellent



NEW CONNECTOR (KY 480 TO KY 44 WITH SALT RIVER CROSSING) BULLITT COUNTY 362 Hevenage Hill parked KYTC ITEM #: 5-8709.00 Sheep Ky 40165 CONSIDERATIONS/ISSUES

SbFroelicher @ windstream. wet

	IMPORTANT	
PROJECT TEAM SHOULD CONSIDER:	YES	NO
FUTURE EXTENSION TO THE NORTH		
FUTURE EXTENSION TO THE SOUTH	V	
USE OF EXISTING COUNTY ROADS FOR ALTERNATIVE	\checkmark	
MINIMIZE IMPACTS TO HOMES/BUSINESSES	V	
MINIMIZE IMPACTS TO FLOODPLAINS		V
MINIMIZE IMPACTS TO NATURAL ENVIRONMENT	V	
PROVIDE PEDESTRIAN FACILITIES	V	

A CONNECTOR ROAD EAST OF CEDAr grove ELEMENTARY School should be designed For Residential Eraffic and Bussiness growth related to residential use. Additionally Shard provide For Future growth dought to 245 while relieving Hury 44. The Future Extension to IGS+ Huy245 Seem paramyound



CONSIDERATIONS/ISSUES

	IMPOR	TANT
PROJECT TEAM SHOULD CONSIDER:	YES	NO
FUTURE EXTENSION TO THE NORTH	\checkmark	
FUTURE EXTENSION TO THE SOUTH		V
USE OF EXISTING COUNTY ROADS FOR ALTERNATIVE	V	
MINIMIZE IMPACTS TO HOMES/BUSINESSES	V	
MINIMIZE IMPACTS TO FLOODPLAINS		\checkmark
MINIMIZE IMPACTS TO NATURAL ENVIRONMENT		\checkmark
PROVIDE PEDESTRIAN FACILITIES	V	

end connector needs to ext t be at lease North or the fature. 44 for Now



CONSIDERATIONS/ISSUES

	IMPORTANT	
PROJECT TEAM SHOULD CONSIDER:	YES	NO
FUTURE EXTENSION TO THE NORTH	V	
FUTURE EXTENSION TO THE SOUTH		1
USE OF EXISTING COUNTY ROADS FOR ALTERNATIVE		
MINIMIZE IMPACTS TO HOMES/BUSINESSES	\checkmark	
MINIMIZE IMPACTS TO FLOODPLAINS	V	
MINIMIZE IMPACTS TO NATURAL ENVIRONMENT	\checkmark	
PROVIDE PEDESTRIAN FACILITIES / BICYCLES	\checkmark	



CONSIDERATIONS/ISSUES

	IMPOR	TANT
PROJECT TEAM SHOULD CONSIDER:	YES	NO
FUTURE EXTENSION TO THE NORTH	V	
FUTURE EXTENSION TO THE SOUTH		V
USE OF EXISTING COUNTY ROADS FOR ALTERNATIVE		
MINIMIZE IMPACTS TO HOMES/BUSINESSES	V	
MINIMIZE IMPACTS TO FLOODPLAINS	~	
MINIMIZE IMPACTS TO NATURAL ENVIRONMENT	V	
PROVIDE PEDESTRIAN FACILITIES	V	
also Bike use		1.4

Please try to g keep the trucks away from the school + Buses. also a way to get these Trucks into the levinen back Norther Omega Parkway. Also place try to use the Smallest number of residence that night he disturbed



CONSIDERATIONS/ISSUES

YES	NO
1	

This connector is Important

but Also CONNECTING to Gene Snyder EVENTUAlly



CONSIDERATIONS/ISSUES

IMPORTANT	
YES	NO
V	
	~

Dgot inpot from Bernham/ Re-Wind, Fligd's Forh DGO TO THE East - FAR to the East Divert/ word school triffe



NEW ROUTE (KY 480 TO KY 44) BULLITT COUNTY ITEM NO. 5-8709.00

1ST ADVISORY GROUP MEETING SEPTEMBER 26, 2013 SIGN IN SHEET







NEW ROUTE (KY 480 TO KY 44) BULLITT COUNTY ITEM NO. 5-8709.00

1.436.41.81



1ST ADVISORY GROUP MEETING SEPTEMBER 26, 2013 SIGN IN SHEET



	SIGN IN SHEET	
NAME (PLEASE PRINT)	ORGANIZATION	EMAIL
Rudy HANNENS	Magistraze Fiscal Court Fiscal Court	coverndy & undetreburnet
LAYNE TROUTMAN Melance Roberts	SLep. F.D. Batec	Hroutman@Slepfinc. com judgeroberts not
Melance Loberts	Bate	Judgeroberts not
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·



June 6, 2014

Mr. Keith Downs Project Manager Kentucky Transportation Cabinet District 5 Office 8310 Westport Road Louisville, Kentucky 40242

Re: Bullitt County KY 44 – KY 480 Connector Item 5-8709.00 *Progress Meeting*

Dear Mr. Downs:

We are pleased to submit these minutes from the progress meeting held on the above referenced project on Thursday, April 10, 2014 at 1:30 PM in the D-5 Office Building. We have also attached the Sign-In sheet, Agenda and PowerPoint presented during the meeting. The following items listed below are a summary of the major discussion that occurred during the meeting:

- 1.) The comments and surveys from the previous held Advisory Committee meeting was discussed. Key comments included:
 - a. Keep options open for an extension to the north of KY 44 and south of KY 480.
 - b. Plan for an ultimate 4-lane right of way.
 - c. Improve the intersections of KY 44 and KY 480 with the new connector.
 - d. Agree with the proposed preliminary evaluation corridor dubbed "the boot" and shown on slide 8 of the PowerPoint.
- 2.) An update of the environmental overview was provided along with the project's Purpose and Need statement.
- 3.) The updated KYTC Traffic Forecast received on March 21, 2014 was discussed. Several slides were presented which highlighted the differences between the various traffic forecasts received to date (Original February 2013, Revised September 2013 and 2nd Revision March 2014) Due to the changes in the study area based on input from concerned citizens and stakeholders several traffic forecasts were completed.. The March 2014 forecast included a possible extension to the north and showed additional traffic volume on the connector.





- 4.) The preliminary alternatives where discussed which currently stand at 16 options. To help the team better understand the alternatives, a summary table was prepared and distributed during the meeting. A copy is also attached to these minutes.
- 5.) After much discussion, the 16 options where narrowed down to 8 corridors options as shown below:
 - a. Alternative Corridor C-MID
 - b. Alternative Corridor C-WEST
 - c. Alternative Corridor C2-MID
 - d. Alternative Corridor C2-WEST
 - e. Alternative Corridor F-MID
 - f. Alternative Corridor F-WEST
 - g. Alternative Corridor G-MID
 - h. Alternative Corridor G-WEST
- 6.) Conceptual, schematic level alternatives were developed for a northern extension of the connector from KY 44 were developed and presented to the team for discussion purposes. The team appreciated the extra effort to help visualize potential options but decided not to proceed with these options nor include them in the Planning Report.
- 7.) The team decided that no further public involvement activities should be conducted on the project. The consultant was directed to continue drafting the Planning Report for KYTC review.
- 8.) For future planning purposes the final study will include cost estimates for a two-lane alternative.

The meeting adjourned at 2:40 PM. If you have any additional comments or questions, please contact either Greg Groves (<u>Greg.Groves@urs.com</u>) or Kevin Dant (Kevin.Dant@urs.com)

Sincerely,

Sego Jon

Gregory T. Groves, P.E. Project Manager URS Corporation